

	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	v
	<i>Contents</i>	vii
CHAPTER 1	Introduction: National Parliaments and the European Union; In Search of Common Ground Olaf Tans	
	1 Introduction	3
	2 A Bottom-up Approach to European Constitutionalism	4
	3 Research-design	8
	3.1 Conceptualising ‘National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making’	8
	3.2 A Threefold Analysis of National Constitutional Regimes	10
	I Constitutional Norms Regarding National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making	11
	II The Relevance of Practices and Habits	14
	III Widening the Scope to the Political System and Culture at Large	15
	4 Conclusion	17
	<i>Appendix: Questionnaire</i>	18
CHAPTER 2	The Finnish Eduskunta: Effective Scrutiny, Partisan Consensus Tapio Raunio	
	1 Introduction	25
	2 Background: Constitutional Reform and Preparing for EU-Membership	26
	3 Controlling the Government in EU Matters	29
	3.1 Accountability and Control Instruments	29
	3.2 Scrutiny of EU Matters	32
	3.3 Partisan Consensus	37
	3.4 Attitudes towards Interparliamentary Cooperation	39
	4 The Eduskunta and the Constitutional Treaty	40
	5 Conclusion	42
CHAPTER 3	Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making in Germany; Supportive Federal Scrutiny and Restrictive Regional Action Daniel Thym	
	1 Introduction	49
	2 Constitutional Framework	49
	3 Normative Framework	52
	4 Information: Irrelevance through Overload?	54

4.1	Normative Concept	54
4.2	Practice and Problems	55
4.3	The Way Ahead	56
5	<i>Bundestag</i> : Supportive Scrutiny	57
5.1	Normative Concept	58
5.2	Practice and Problems	61
5.3	Way Ahead	64
6	<i>Bundesrat</i> : Preserving Federalism	65
6.1	Normative Concept	66
6.2	Practice and Problems	67
6.3	Way Ahead	68
7	Conclusion	69
CHAPTER 4	EU Affairs before the United Kingdom Parliament; A Case of Scrutiny as Substitute Sovereignty? Adam Cygan	
I	Introduction	75
2	The Legal, Political and Constitutional Context of Scrutiny	76
3	Brief History of Parliamentary Scrutiny in the United Kingdom	79
4	The Heterogeneity of National Scrutiny Procedures	80
5	Scrutiny of European Union Legislation by the Westminster Parliament	81
6	The House of Commons – European Scrutiny Committee	85
7	The House of Lords – Select Committee on the European Union and its Sub-Committees	88
8	Impact of the Scrutiny Process within the UK Parliament	91
9	Scrutiny as Substitute Sovereignty?	93
10	Future Reform of the UK Scrutiny Process	96
CHAPTER 5	Belgian Parliaments and EU Decision-making; “In the Government We Trust” Hendrik Vos, Sofie Staelraeve, Carl Devos, Jan Orbie, Hilde Van Liefferinge, An Schrijvers	
I	Introduction	99
2	How Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making is Organised in Belgium	99
2.1	A Uniquely Composed Federal Advisory Committee	99
2.2	The Functioning of the Federal Advisory Committee	100
2.3	The Standing Committees and European affairs	101
2.4	The Flow of Information	102
2.5	Control of EU Decision-making by the Federal Parliament – an Evaluation	103

CONTENTS

2.6	The European Role of Regions and Communities	104
2.7	Regional Parliaments and EU Decision-making: The Case of Flanders	106
3	Why Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making in Belgium is Weak	108
3.1	Normative Signs of a Powerful Parliament	108
3.2	Belgium: “The Most Thorough Example of Consociational Democracy”	110
3.3	Belgium: An Ever Further Federalizing State	111
4	Conclusion	113
CHAPTER 6	Control of EU Decision-making in the Hungarian National Assembly: the Experience of a new Member State Klára Szalay and Angéla Juhász-Tóth	
1	Introduction	121
2	Norms and Powers Regulating National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making	123
3	Scrutiny of EU Affairs in the National Assembly	126
3.1	Scope of Information	126
3.2	Process of Discussion	128
3.3	Parliamentary Influence	130
3.4	Other Forms of Parliamentary Control	131
4	Dilemmas Relating to the Practice of Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making	133
5	Widening the Perspective	135
6	Conclusion	137
CHAPTER 7	The Parliament of Austria: A “normative” Tiger? Barbara Blümel and Christine Neuhold	
1	Introduction	143
2	Norms Regulating the Flow of Information about EU Decision-making (with a Link to the Respective Provisions in the Constitutional Treaty)	144
3	Norms Regulating National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making and Institutional Setting of Parliamentary Scrutiny	146
3.1	Legal Provisions Regulating Parliamentary Participation	146
3.2	The Institutional Setting of Parliamentary Scrutiny	148
4	Evaluation of Parliamentary Scrutiny in EU Affairs	151
4.1	The Scope of Parliamentary Scrutiny	151
4.1.1	The Number of Documents Submitted to the Austrian Parliament	151

4.1.2	The Number of EC/EU Documents Actually Considered by Parliament	153
4.2	The Political Implications of Parliamentary Scrutiny	156
5	Discussion	156
6	Concluding Remarks	158
CHAPTER 8	The Dutch Parliament and the EU; A Constitutional Analysis Olaf Tans	
I	Introduction	163
2	Some General Constitutional Features	164
3	Constitutional Norms with regard to Parliamentary Controlling Power	167
3.1	Constitutional Norms Governing the Flow of Information about EU Decision-making	168
3.2	Constitutional Norms Governing the Power to Influence EU Decision-making	169
4	Practices and Habits Affecting Parliamentary Controlling Power	171
4.1	General Procedures to Control EU Decision-making	171
4.2	The Approval-procedure concerning Decision-making in the Third Pillar	174
4.3	The Joint Committee on the Application of Subsidiarity	175
5	Complications of the Dutch Scrutiny-system	177
5.1	The Fiction of Ministerial Responsibility	177
5.2	Low Level of Parliamentary Involvement	178
5.3	The Non-political Nature of Parliamentary Scrutiny	179
6	Conclusion	180
CHAPTER 9	National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making in Denmark Peter Riis	
I	Introduction: Denmark's Hesitant Approach to the EU	185
2	Constitutional Background of the Danish Scrutiny System	187
2.1	The Danish Parliamentary System	187
2.2	The Danish Constitution on Foreign Policy, Referendums and Transfer of Sovereignty	188
2.3	The Legal Basis of the Mandate System	188
3	The Danish Decision-making Process with regard to European Affairs	190
3.1	The National Decision-making Process – In Brief	190
3.2	How does the Government obtain a Mandate for Negotiation?	192

3.3	Referendums on EU-matters – Direct Democracy in Practice	193
4	The Parliament’s Treatment of EU-issues	194
4.1	Early Treatment of EU-issues in the EAC and in the Sector Committees	194
4.2	The Subsidiarity Check	195
4.3	Systematic Treatment of Green and White Papers	196
4.4	Openness in the European Affairs Committee	196
4.5	Cooperation with Members of the European Parliament	197
5	Conclusion and Future Perspectives	198
CHAPTER IO	Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-making in the Czech Republic	
	Lenka Pítrová and Martina Coxová	
I	Introduction	205
2	The General Idea of the Czech Scrutiny System	205
3	Constitutional Norms Governing Parliamentary Control	206
3.1	The ‘Euro-amendment’ to the Czech Constitution	206
3.2	The ‘Euro-amendments’ to the Rules of Procedure of the Czech Parliament	208
3.2.1	The Euro-amendment of the Rules of Procedure of the Chamber of Deputies	208
3.2.2	The Euro-amendment of the Rules of Procedure of the Senate	211
3.3	The Government Directive on Transmission of Draft European Legislative Proposals to the Parliament	214
4	Assessment of the Enacted Amendments	214
4.1	The Chamber of Deputies	214
4.2	The Senate	216
5	Experiences with the New Model of Parliamentary Control	216
5.1	The Chamber of Deputies	216
5.2	The Senate	218
6	Some Comments on a Future and Better Organisation of National Parliamentary Control of EU Decision-Making	221
7	Conclusion	222
CHAPTER II	Conclusion: National Parliaments and the European Union; Coping with the Limits of Democracy	
	Olaf Tans	
I	Introduction	227
2	National Constitutions and European Scrutiny: Exploring Common Ground	228
2.1	The Pivotal Role of Ministerial Responsibility	229
2.2	The Contours of a Common Practice	231

2.3	A Common Political Culture?	234
2.4	Conclusion	236
3	The Future Role of National Parliaments in the EU – Issues for Debate	237
3.1	The Limits of Ministerial Responsibility	237
3.2	Vices and Virtues of <i>Ex Ante</i> Mechanisms	239
3.3	More Direct Involvement of National Parliaments in EU Decision-making?	242
3.4	Going Beyond the Input-legitimising Role of National Parliaments	243
3.5	A Plea for More Debate in National Parliaments	245
4	Conclusion	247
	<i>Contributors</i>	252